APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,218	02/27/2002	Sunit B. Mangalvedhekar	014208.1483 (05-01-010)	6967

			EXAMINER
5073	7590	06/08/2005	NGUYEN, HAI V

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
2001 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 600
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2142	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

    a) ☒ The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

    b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

    Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u>

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because

    (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

    (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

    (c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

    (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

        NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

    The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

    Claim(s) allowed: _____.

    Claim(s) objected to: _____.

    Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35 and 37-46</u>.

    Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

<u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see the following page.</u>

12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

13. ☐ Other: _____.

KAMINI SHAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that:

      Point (A), the prior art does not disclose, "requesting, by the client, downloading of a selected file residing in the server, the selected file associated·with at least one associated file an including instructions to access, either directly or indirectly, the associated file." in claim 1.

      As to point (A), Kisor discloses that requesting, by the client, downloading of a selected file (Fig. 8, the web_page1 selected by the user) residing in the server, the selected file associated with at least one associated file (Fig. 8, the attribute list window 310 associated with the Web_page1 is displaying the at least associated attribute A1) and including instructions to access, either directly or indirectly, the associated file (Fig. 8, based on the attribute list 312 shown in pop up window 310, the user can click on or select Web_page1 for downloading (col. 5, line 55 - col. 6, line 7).

      Point (B), The prior art do not disclose, "in response to requesting downloading of the selected file, initiating downloading of the selected file and automatically determining the identity of, and initiating downloading of, the at least one associated file." in claim 1.

      As to point (B), Kisor disclose that "in response to requesting downloading of the selected file, initiating downloading of the selected file (Fig. 9 that the enhanced browser 300 is retrieving the Web_page1 302 selected in Fig. 8, (col. 6, lines 8-22)) and automatically determining the identity of, and initiating downloading of, the at least one associated file (As the enhanced browser 300 is retrieving the Web_page1 302, it is also pre-fetching the HTTP headers of the embedded links 324, 326, and 328 in the background and transparent to the user. By way of example, when cursor element 330 is positioned on top of embedded link 328 or if embedded link 328 is selected, an attribute window 332 imediately pops up and displays the attribute list 334 of the embedded link 328 (col. 6, lines 8-22)".

      Point (C), the prior art does not disclose "storing, in a memory associated with the client, of the selected file and the at least one associated file under respective local identifiers" in claim 1.

      As to point (C), Berger discloses in Fig. 12 that "the LCM creates a preload stack, or similar data structure with a number of storage locations usually based on the PreloadLinks variable which has set upon initialization of the LCP (1200). Once the preload stack is created, it is populated to carry out the preloading of information in aparticular order (1210)...The LCP requests certain parameters during operation and it is desirable to have these parameters accessible for user configuration (Berger, col. 10, lines 8-35)".

      Kisor also discloses, "a client can index all of the web pages of a corporation or entity by issuing HEAD command requests for each Web page within the corporation or entity and prefetching the headers of all the Web pages. This allows a corporation to catalog all of its Web pages in a more intelligent way" (col. 4, lines 35-44)). Kisor also discloses in Fig. 7 that the client generates an attribute list of the Web page (steps 272 and 274) under his/her identifiers, e.g., A1, A2, A3, etc. which are embedded links, e.g., addresses of other Web pages (Figs. 3, 5; col. 3, lines 22-50)."

      As to point (D), the prior art does not disclose "the selected file and the at least one associated file identified by respective URLs" in claim 13.

      As to point (D), Kisor discloses in Fig. 6 that "if the user is interested in the contents of the Web page by viewing the attribute list, the user can click on the URL to download the Web page (Kisor, col. 4, lines 61-67)".

      Berger also discloses that "a variable known as PreloadLinks reflects a maximum number of information data-links to preload based on a single user request. A data link is commonly in the format known as the uniform resource locator or URL, for the World Wide Web. It corresponds to an information identifier as used elsewhere (Berger, col. 10, lines 41-47)".

HN